

“ACMG 遗传变异分类标准与指南” 中文版

网站使用说明

1、打开网页

网页地址栏输入 acmg.cbgc.org.cn

2、登录

输入用户名、密码

登录

您尚未登录！请在下方输入您的用户名和密码进行登录。您的浏览器需要支持 Cookies 才能正常登录。

用户名

密码

记住我

还没有账号？立即注册: [注册](#)

忘记密码？立即获取新密码: [设置新密码](#)

中国遗传学会遗传咨询分会
Chinese Board of Genetic Counseling

您的足迹 · 首页

1、点击登录

关于“ACMG遗传变异分类标准与指南”中文版

“ACMG遗传变异分类标准与指南”（英文原文“Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology”）中文版由解放军总医院王秋菊团队完成第一稿翻译，复旦大学附属儿科医院黄国英团队完成第二稿翻译，并由中国遗传学会遗传咨询分会审核修订并最终发布，已得到美国医学遗传学与基因组学学会（ACMG）的官方授权。中国遗传学会遗传咨询分会还将联合多位专家进一步修改与完善，并定期发布修订版。

“ACMG遗传变异分类标准与指南”是 ACMG 和 AMP 制定的关于基因变异的解读指南，提出的建议主要适用于临床实验室的基因检测，包括基因分型、单基因、基因panels、外显子组和基因组。该指南建议使用特定标准术语“致病”、“可能致病”、“意义不明确”、“可能良性”和“良性”来描述孟德尔疾病相关的基因变异。

翻译团队

解放军总医院：王秋菊、关静、王洪阳、王大勇、赵立东
复旦大学附属儿科医院：黄国英、周文浩、王慧君
遗传咨询分会：贺林、梁波、孔令印、宣黎明、曹燕、祝轶君、王莉、赵娜、秦一丁、徐君玲、夏彦颖、王剑青、樊丽霞、赵丁丁、邱浩

中文版全文查看

遗传变异分类标准与指南

使用说明

点击浏览 [网站使用说明.pdf](#)

版权声明

该指南中文版是基于英文原文“Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology.”翻译而来，该英文文章为Genetics in Medicine杂志发表，版权归Genetics in Medicine所有。该中文版本已授权。未经美国医学遗传学与基因组学

2、点击查看中本版全文

3、进入指南中文版全文

您的足迹: [首页](#) · [start](#)

目录栏超链接, 点击可快速定位

整个指南编辑, 不分段

遗传变异分类标准与指南

免责声明

These ACMG Standards and Guidelines were developed primarily as an educational resource for clinical laboratory geneticists to help them provide quality clinical laboratory services. Adherence to these standards and guidelines is voluntary and does not necessarily assure a successful medical outcome. These Standards and Guidelines should not be considered inclusive of all proper procedures and tests or exclusive of other procedures and tests that are reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. In determining the propriety of any specific procedure or test, the clinical laboratory geneticist should apply his or her own professional judgment to the specific circumstances presented by the individual patient or specimen. Clinical laboratory geneticists are encouraged to document in the patient's record the rationale for the use of a particular procedure or test, whether or not it is in conformance with these Standards and Guidelines. They also are advised to take notice of the date any particular guideline was adopted and to consider other relevant medical and scientific information that becomes available after that date. It also would be prudent to consider whether intellectual property interests may restrict the performance of certain tests and other procedures.

ACMG的这些标准与指南主要是为帮助临床实验室遗传学家提供优质的临床检验服务, 开发基础教育资源。遵守这些标准和指南是自愿的且不一定确保成功的医疗效果。这些标准和指南并不包含所有合适的程序和检测, 或者是否定了其他通过合理方法获得相同结果的程序和检测。在判断任何具体的程序或测试的合理性时, 临床实验室遗传学家应根据个体病人或物种的具体情况应用自己的专业进行判断。不管这些数据是否标准和指南一致, 我们鼓励临床实验室遗传学家将病人的记录进行归档, 以用于特定的程序或测试。我们还建议他们关注任何特定指南被采纳使用的日期, 并考虑该日期之后出现的其他可用的相关医疗和科学信息。还需审慎考虑到知识产权可能会限制某些测试和其他程序的性能。

摘要

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) previously developed guidance for the interpretation of sequence variants.1 In the past decade, sequencing technology has evolved rapidly with the advent of high-throughput next-generation sequencing. By adopting and leveraging next-generation sequencing, clinical laboratories are now performing an ever-increasing catalogue of

分段编辑按钮

分段编辑按钮

目录

- 遗传变异分类标准与指南
 - 免责声明
 - 摘要
 - Key Words 关键词
 - 1.引言
 - 2.方法
 - 3.总论
 - 3.1 术语
 - 3.2 命名
 - 3.3 文献及数据库使用
 - 3.4 生物信息学计算预
 - 4. 序列变异解读的拟定标准
 - 4.1 PVS1 无效变异
 - 4.2 PS1 相同氨基酸改变
 - 4.3 PS2 PM6 新生变异
 - 4.4 PS3 BS3 功能研究
 - 4.5 PS4 PM2 BA1 BS1 BS2 变异频率及对照人群的使用
 - 4.6 PM1 热点突变和或关键的、得到确认的功能域
 - 4.7 PM3 BP2 顺式/反式检测
 - 4.8 PM4 BP3 由于框内缺失/插入和末端缺失导致的蛋白长度改变
 - 4.9 PM5 同一位置新的错义变异
 - 4.10 PP1 BS4 共分离分析
 - 4.11 PP2 BP1 变异谱
 - 4.12 PP3 BP4 生物信息分析数据
 - 4.13 PP4 表型支持
 - 4.14 PP5 BP6 有信誉的来源
 - 4.15 BP5 可替代座位观察
 - 4.16 BP7 同义变异
 - 5. 序列变异报导
 - 5.1 结果

编辑本页

修订记录

反向链接

回到顶部

4、进入分段编辑页面

编辑本页后请点击“保存”。请参阅 [syntax](#) 了解维基语法。只有在您能 **改进** 该页面的前提下才编辑它。如果您想尝试一些东西，请先到 [playground](#) 热身。



==== 免责声明 =====

英文原文

These [ACMG Standards and Guidelines](#) were developed primarily as an educational resource for clinical laboratory geneticists to help them provide quality clinical laboratory services. Adherence to these standards and guidelines is voluntary and does not necessarily assure a successful medical outcome. These Standards and Guidelines should not be considered inclusive of all proper procedures and tests or exclusive of other procedures and tests that are reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. In determining the propriety of any specific procedure or test, the clinical laboratory geneticist should apply his or her own professional judgment to the specific circumstances presented by the individual patient or specimen. Clinical laboratory geneticists are encouraged to document in the patient's record the rationale for the use of a particular procedure or test, whether or not it is in conformance with these Standards and Guidelines. They also are advised to take notice of the date any particular guideline was adopted and to consider other relevant medical and scientific information that becomes available after that date. It also would be prudent to consider whether intellectual property interests may restrict the performance of certain tests and other procedures.

ACMG的这些标准与指南主要是为帮助临床实验室遗传学家提供优质的临床检验服务，开发基础教育资源。遵守这些标准和指南是自愿的且不一定确保成功的医疗效果。这些标准和指南并不包含所有合适的程序和检测，或者是否定了其他通过合理方法获得相同结果的程序和检测。在判断任何具体的程序或测试的合理性时，临床实验室遗传学家应根据个体病人或物种的具体情况应用自己的专业进行判断。不管这些数据是否标准和指南一致，我们鼓励临床实验室遗传学家将病人的记录进行归档，以用于特定的程序或测试。我们还建议他们关注任何特定指南被采纳使用的日期，并考虑该日期之后出现的其他可用的相关医疗和科学信息。还需审慎考虑到知识产权可能会限制某些测试和其他程序的性能。

可直接在中文处修改

编辑后，可先预览，没问题后保存

调整编辑页面大小

保存 预览 取消 编辑摘要 [免责声明]



5、点击“修订记录”，选择两个版本进行比较。

修订记录

以下是当前文档的修订记录。如果要回复到某个旧的修订版，请在下面选择它，并点击“编辑本页”，之后保存即可。

2

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 17:32	start	- [免责声明] 遗传咨询分会	+15 B (当前版本)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 15:21	start	- [Disclaimer 免责声明] bioadmin	-11 B
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 15:16	start	- [7. 医疗保健提供者如何使用这些指南和建议]	bioadmin +2 B
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 15:09	start	- [4.5 PS4 PM2 BA1 BS1 BS2 变异频率及对照人群的使用]	bioadmin +4 B
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 15:06	start	bioadmin	+30 B
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 15:03	start	- [3.2 命名] bioadmin	+1.5 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 14:16	start	- [3.2 命名] bioadmin	-1.5 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 14:16	start	- [3.2 命名] bioadmin	+1.5 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 14:13	start	- [7. 医疗保健提供者如何使用这些指南和建议]	bioadmin +6.7 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:44	start	- [6.6 体细胞变异] bioadmin	+1.2 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:44	start	- [6.5 常见复杂疾病] bioadmin	+2.2 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:43	start	- [6.4 药物基因组学] bioadmin	+2.2 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:40	start	- [6.3 线粒体变异] bioadmin	+3.5 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:36	start	- [6.2 在健康个体中评估变异或作为偶然发现] bioadmin	+670 B
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:35	start	- [6.1 基于测试指示对GUS变异的评估和报告] bioadmin	+1.8 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:31	start	- [5.6 变异的验证] bioadmin	+509 B
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:30	start	- [5.5 变异再分析] bioadmin	+1.7 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:29	start	- [5.4 患者维权团体、临床实验和研究的获取] bioadmin	+777 B
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:29	start	- [5.3 方法学] bioadmin	+1.1 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:28	start	- [5.2 解读] bioadmin	+1.6 KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	2016/09/18 13:27	start	- [5.1 结果] bioadmin	+1.2 KB

1

- 显示页面
- 修订记录
- 反向链接
- 回到顶部

3

显示跟目前版本的差异

较旧的 >>

比较页面展示

差别

这里会显示出您选择的修订版和当前版本之间的差别。

查看差异：

[到此差别页面的链接](#)

- << 2016/11/24 21:00 [2.方法]

-start [2016/11/24 21:00] (180.106.214.254) [2.方法]

+ 2016/11/24 21:09 [2.方法]

+start [2016/11/24 21:09] (当前版本) (180.106.214.254) [2.方法]

@@ 行 -27,5 +27,5 @@ 移除 创建

In November 2013 the workgroup held a workshop at the AMP meeting with more than 50 attendees, presenting the revised classification criteria and two potential scoring systems. One system is consistent with the approach presented here and the other is a point system whereby each criterion is given a number of points, assigning positive points for pathogenic criteria and negative points for benign criteria, with the total defining the variant class. With an audience-response system, the participants were asked how they would weight each criterion (as strong, moderate or supporting, or not used) during evaluation of variant evidence. Again, the responses were incorporated into the classification system presented here. It should be noted that while the majority of respondents did favor a point system, the workgroup felt that the assignment of specific points for each criterion implied a quantitative level of understanding of each criterion that is currently not supported scientifically and does not take into account the complexity of interpreting genetic evidence.

2013年11月，工作组在AMP会议期间举行了超过50人参加的研讨会，提出了修订后的分类标准和两个评分系统。一个系统与这里介绍的方法是一致的，另一个系统是一个点系统，每一项标准都有一个点数，正点为致病标准，负点为良性标准，根据总点数进行变异分类。参与者使用此系统并进行反馈，回答在评估变异证据过程中他们如何权衡各个标准（加强，中度或支持，或不使用）。参与者的反馈结果经分析后会再次综合到这里介绍的分类系统中。应该但要指出的是，虽然大多数调查对象更倾向于点数评分系统，但本工作组认为，每个标准中具体点数的设置量化了对每个标准的理解，但是这一方法量化指标目前缺乏科学依据，并且没有考虑解读遗传证据解读时的复杂性。

The workgroup also evaluated the literature for recommendations from other professional societies and working groups that have developed variant classification guidelines for wellstudied genes in breast cancer, colon cancer, and cystic fibrosis and statistical analysis programs for quantitative evaluation of variants in select diseases.While those variant analysis guidelines

